

ETHICS AND REVIEW PROCEDURE OF ARTICLES PUBLICATION IN "ECONOMY AND SOCIETY" SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL

The editorial board of the scientific journal "Economy and Society" follows the guidelines presented by the Committee on Publication Ethics and the regulations of the Supreme Certifying Committee of the Republic of Armenia.

Editorial Board

The final decision on the publication of the article is made by the editorial board at the meeting of the editorial board.

Scientific value, novelty, timeliness, and quality are the criteria for publication, regardless of the race, gender, nationality, religious, or political beliefs of the authors.

The editorial board is obliged to ensure the confidentiality of the data provided by the authors and not to use it for other purposes.

The editorial board is obliged to respect the value and ownership of the submitted data and not to publish those data without appropriate permission.

Authors

The author may be obliged to provide the primary, raw data to the editorial board if necessary.

The author is obliged to observe the rules of academic ethics.

In addition to direct references, the author must also cite publications that have had an impact on the research. Information obtained privately, conversation, correspondence or discussion with third parties should not be used or reported without written permission.

Authorship should be limited to individuals who have made a significant contribution to the content of the work, the performance or interpretation of the submitted study.

The manuscript or substantial parts of it should not be published before.

In case of detection a significant error or inaccuracy in the manuscript, the author is obliged to notify the editorial board about retracting or correcting the manuscript.

Reviewers

Submitted articles will be sent to anonymous ("blind") review by two independent experts and will only be available for publication after they receive a favorable opinion. If the scientific and technical requirements are not met, the article will not be published and the opinion of the independent experts will be sent to the author (author group).

The articles reviewed by the anonymous review system should meet the following requirements:

- science,
- originality,
- actuality,
- quality.

Science: scientific discussion elements in the publication.

Originality: the results presented in the article should not be known and previously published

Actuality: importance, usefulness and applicability of the ideas, methods described in this research.

Quality: the scientific, technical and/or methodological harmonization of the article, the accuracy and veracity of the results and references, enabling to find true evidence.

The compliance of the submitted articles with the above mentioned requirements is determined by the independent reviewers. According to these decisions, the editorial board adopts one of the following decisions:

- Accepted,
- May be published after revision,
- Rejected.

Any reviewer who does not consider himself competent at reviewing the research or knows that it is impossible to complete it within the specified time, must inform the editorial board so that another reviewer can be selected.

Reviews should be conducted honestly, objectively and observations formulated clearly with supporting arguments. Personal criticism of the authors is inappropriate.

After receiving the article, the reviewer is obliged to inform the editorial board in case of a possible conflict of interest.

The transfer of data to anyone apart from the author, reviewers and editorial board, is forbidden.

Editorial board members will not use unpublished material disclosed in a submitted research for their own or author's research purposes without the authors' written consent.

The author is informed about the review results, suggestions and observations through the email provided.